UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

-X. A. CORP. A. CORP.

★ OCT 13 2009

EDY MICULAX, Individually and on Behalf of All Other Persons Similarly Situated,

BROOKININGFICE

Plaintiffs.

-against-

THE ORIGINAL PIZZA OF AVENUE L, INC., BENEDITTO FONTARA and JOHN DOES # 1-10, Jointly and Severally

Defendants.

Class and Collective Action 2 Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial ROSS, J.

J. ORENSTEIN, M.J.

Plaintiff, through his attorneys, complaining of Defendants, alleges as follows:

### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff alleges, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former employees of the Defendants who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b), that they are: (i) entitled to unpaid minimum and overtime wages from Defendants for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by law, and (ii) entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et seq.
- 2. Plaintiff further complains on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former employees that he is entitled to back wages from Defendants for unpaid minimum wages and for overtime work for which he did not receive overtime premium pay as required by New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq., including Part 142, § 142-2.2 ("Overtime Rate") of Title 12 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations promulgated by the Commissioner of Labor pursuant to the Minimum Wage Act (Article 19 of the New York State Labor Law) as well as an extra hour of pay for

days in which he worked 10 or more hours per day ("spread of hours" pay) and unpaid uniform allowance.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and 1343, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, this Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the Defendants' principal place of business is in this district.
- 5. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff, Edy Miculax ("Miculax"), at all relevant times, resided in Brooklyn, New York.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, The Original Pizza of Avenue L, Inc. ("Original Pizza"), is a New York Corporation.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Original Pizza, maintains its principal place of business at 9514 Avenue L, Brooklyn, New York, 11236.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Beneditto Fontara ("Fontara"), owns, operates and/or controls the day to day operations and management of Original Pizza and jointly employed Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees at all relevant times.

- 10. Upon information and belief, John Does #1-10 represent the officers, directors and/or managing agents of the Defendants, whose identities are unknown at this time and who participated in the day-to-day operations of the Defendants and acted intentionally and maliciously and are "employers" pursuant to the FLSA, 20 U.S.C. §203(d) and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, as well as the New York Labor Law Sec. 2 and the regulations thereunder and are jointly and severally liable with the Defendants.
- 11. Upon information and belief, each Defendant is an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Each Defendant is an enterprise because each performs its related activities for a common business purpose. Each Defendant is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, because, inter alia, each Defendant has employees that handle goods and materials that have been produced for and moved in commerce, and, upon information and belief, each Defendant's annual gross volume of business is at least \$500,000.
- 12. Each Defendant, either directly or indirectly, has hired and fired Plaintiff; controlled Plaintiff's work schedules and conditions of employment; determined the rate and method of his payment; and kept at least some records regarding his employment.

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

13. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, Plaintiff seeks to prosecute his FLSA claims as a collective action on behalf of all persons who are or were formerly employed by Defendants at any time since October 8, 2003 to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA and who did not receive minimum wages as well as compensation for overtime at

rates not less than one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").

- 14. This collective action class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of that number are presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 40 Collective Action Members during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not be likely to file individual suits because they lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys or knowledge of their claims.
- 15. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members and has retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the fields of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interest that is contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.
- 16. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it virtually impossible for members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a collective action.
- 17. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants

have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the common questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:

- (a) whether the Defendants employed the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FSLA;
- (b) what proof of hours worked is sufficient where the employer fails in its duty to maintain records;
- (c) whether Defendants failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wages and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA in any area where Plaintiffs are employed, in violation of 29 C.F.R. § 516.4;
- (d) whether Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members minimum wages and/or overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek, in violation of the FLSA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- (e) whether Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA;
- (f) whether Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees; and
- (g) whether Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of the FLSA in the future.
- 18. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 19. Plaintiff sues on his own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rules 23(a), (b)(2) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 20. Plaintiff brings his New York Labor Law claim on behalf of all persons who were employed by Defendants as kitchen workers and other comparable positions with different titles at any time since October 8, 2003, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Class Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the New York Labor Law and have not been paid for minimum and overtime wages in violation of the New York Labor Law (the "Class") as well as an extra hour of pay for days in which he worked 10 or more hours per day ("spread of hours" pay) and unpaid uniform allowance.
- 21. The persons in the Class identified above are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of that number are based, are presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief, there are at least 40 members of the Class during the Class Period.
- 22. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy—particularly in the context of the wage and hour litigation where individual plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in federal court against corporate defendants.
- 23. Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

- 24. Plaintiff is committed to pursuing this action and has retained competent counsel experienced in employment law and class action litigation.
- 25. Plaintiff has the same interests in this matter as all other members of the Class, and Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class.
- 26. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions solely affecting the individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:
  - (a) whether Defendants employed the members of the Class within the meaning of the New York Labor Law;
  - (b) what proof of hours worked is sufficient where the employer fails in its duty to maintain records;
  - (c) whether Defendants failed/and or refused to pay the members of the Class minimum wage, premium pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek as well as an extra hour of pay for days in which they worked 10 or more hours per day ("spread of hours" pay) and unpaid uniform allowance within the meaning of the New York Labor Law;
  - (d) whether Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees; and
  - (e) whether Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of the New York Labor Law in the future.

## STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

- 27. Micolax was employed by Defendant from on or about October, 2007 until on or about August 31, 2009 (the "time period").
- 28. Micolax was a kitchen helper for Defendants at Defendants' restaurant in Brooklyn, New York.
- 29. During the time period, Plaintiff worked more than 10 hours a day several times a week and he wore a uniform at work as required by Defendants.
- 30. Plaintiff often worked in excess of 40 hours a week, yet the Defendants willfully failed to pay him minimum wages as well as overtime compensation of one and one-half times his regular hourly rate, in violation of the FLSA as well as an extra hour of pay for days in which he worked 10 or more hours per day ("spread of hours" pay) and unpaid uniform allowance in violation of the New York Labor Law. As stated, the exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but within the sole knowledge of the Defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.
- 31. Plaintiff's work was performed in the normal course of the Defendants' business and was integrated into the business of Defendants.
- 32. Plaintiff's duties did not include managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.
- 33. Throughout that time and, upon information belief, both before that time and continuing until today, the Defendants have likewise employed other individuals, like the Plaintiff (the Collective/Class Action Members) in positions that required little skill and no capital investment and his duties and responsibilities did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment. They do not have the authority

to hire or fire other employees, and they are not responsible for making hiring and firing recommendations.

- 34. Throughout all relevant time periods, upon information and belief, and during the course of Plaintiff's own employment, the course of the Collective/Class Action Period, the Defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records.
- 35. Throughout all relevant time periods, upon information and belief, and during the course of Plaintiff's own employment, while Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Collective/Class Action Members failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. At all relevant times, Defendants have been and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).
- 38. At all relevant times, Defendants employed and/or continue to employ,
  Plaintiff and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 39. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 40. Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff's written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

- 41. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay minimum wages as well as overtime compensation to its employees for their hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 42. As a result of the Defendants' willful failure to compensate its employees, including Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members, minimum wages and for overtime at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a workweek, the Defendants have violated and continue to violate the FSLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 43. As a result of Defendants' failure to record, report, credit, and/or compensate its employees, including Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members, Defendants have failed to make, keep and preserve records with respect to each of its employees sufficient to determine the wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment in violation of the FSLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 44. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 45. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Collective Action Members, are entitled to recover from Defendants, their unpaid minimum wages and/or overtime compensation, an additional amount equal as liquidated damages, additional liquidated damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 48. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay minimum wages and/or overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek as well as an extra hour of pay for days in which he worked 10 or more hours per day ("spread of hours" pay) and unpaid uniform allowance in violation of the New York Labor Law and its regulations.
- 49. Defendants' New York Labor Law violations have caused Plaintiff irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 50. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant his unpaid minimum wages and/or overtime compensation as well as an extra hour of pay for days in which he worked 10 or more hours per day ("spread of hours" pay) and unpaid uniform allowance and damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 663(1).
- 51. Plaintiffs waive their claim for statutory liquidated damages and/or punative damages to the extent that they allege a class action.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated,
Collective Action Members, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- (a) Certification of this action as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) and (3) on behalf of the members of the Class and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Class;
- (b) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FSLA Opt-In-Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and appointing Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel to represent the Collective Action Members.
- (c) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- (d) An injunction against the Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- (e) An award of unpaid minimum wages and/or overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;

- (f) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of the Defendants' willful failure to pay minimum wages and/or overtime compensation under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and an extra hour of pay for days in which he worked 10 or more hours per day ("spread of hours" pay) and unpaid uniform allowance pursuant to the New York Labor Law §663(1);
- (g) An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest;
- (h) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- (i) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

## DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the Complaint.

Dated: New York, New York October 8, 2009

**GOTTLIEB & ASSOCIATES** 

Jeffrey M. Gottlieb, Esq. (JG-7905) Attorneys for Plaintiff, Individually,

and on Behalf of All Other Persons Similarly

Situated

Gottlieb & Associates

Jeffrey M. Gottlieb, Esq. (JG-7905)

Dana L. Gottlieb, Esq. (DG-6151)

150 East 18<sup>th</sup> Street

Suite PHR

New York, New York 10003

Tel: (212) 228-9795

# CONSENT TO BECOME PARTY PLAINTIFF

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of the arginal Bizza of Are. L. Tic. Bened, the to pay me overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize forlars, of the filing of this consent in the action(s) challenging such conduct. I authorize the representative plaintiffs and designate them class representatives as my agents to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting this litigation, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiffs' counsel concerning attorneys' fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.

ignature Date Print

Fay Micolax